**Joint submission to HM Treasury ahead of the Autumn Statement 2024**

**From Caritas Social Action Network (CSAN), St Vincent de Paul Society (SVP), Caritas Shrewsbury, and Caritas Salford.**

1. Caritas Social Action Network (CSAN), St Vincent de Paul Society (SVP), Caritas Shrewsbury, and Caritas Salford welcome the opportunity to make a submission to HM Treasury ahead of the Autumn Statement 2024.
2. CSAN is an agency of the Catholic Bishops’ Conference of England and Wales, with 52 charities in membership committed to social action and providing professional and voluntary assistance to people of all faiths and none: to families, refugees, asylum seekers, older people, vulnerable adults, the homeless, prisoners, and the unemployed. SVP, Caritas Shrewsbury, and Caritas Salford are among these 52 members and provide direct support to individuals and families in need across the country and collectively support over 50,000 people a year through various projects and charitable activities.
3. Our organisations are concerned with putting Catholic Social Teaching into practice. Part of this teaching includes care for the poor and marginalised in society, as well as a deep concern for children and family life and creating a society where they can flourish.
4. The member charities of CSAN have a particular role in meeting the needs of people in society through animating and convening the work of its member charities. Many of these charities have seen first-hand the changes that have been made to the benefits system over the past decade, including the “benefit cap” which was introduced in 2013.
5. In this joint submission, we call on the Chancellor to focus on children and families ahead of the Autumn Statement on 30 October 2024. Given the continued challenges faced by household budgets because of inflation and rising energy costs, the Government must ensure that there a focus on making sure more children do not end up in poverty.
6. Measures such as increasing child benefit, scraping the two-child cap on Universal Credit and Working Tax Credits, and lifting the High Income Child Benefit Charge, would all go some way to support children and families with the cost of living. For the purposes of this submission, we will limit our comments to the two-child cap, which our organisations have opposed since it was first introduced in 2017.
7. It is also a policy which is opposed by the Catholic Bishops’ Conference of England and Wales. The Bishop of Northampton, David Oakley, said in a recent comment that: “At a time of ever-growing social and economic insecurity for families across England, the two-child cap on universal credit places an unnecessary and disproportionate burden on households, particularly for families that have suffered the pain of unemployment or disability”.[[1]](#endnote-1)
8. The latest government figures show that 1.6 million (an increase of 100,000 from the previous year) children live in families affected by the two-child cap, with at least one child in these families affected by the policy. 59% of the 450,000 households affected by the cap have at least one parent in work.[[2]](#endnote-2) These families are missing out on up to £3455 a year per child.[[3]](#endnote-3)
9. The Child Poverty Action Group states that “Abolishing the two-child limit is the most cost-effective way of reducing child poverty – it would lift 300,000 children out of poverty, and a further 700,000 children would be in less deep poverty at a cost of just £1.3 billion.”[[4]](#endnote-4) Further, Save the Children highlight that child poverty is estimated to cost the UK government £39 billion a year.[[5]](#endnote-5) This investment will undoubtedly help to save public money in the long term.
10. In Parliament, the House of Commons Work and Pensions Select Committee has published two reports on the two-child cap recently – in January 2019 and November 2019. In its second report, the Committee recommended scrapping the two-child limit, observing that the policy “not only fails to achieve the Government's own objectives but has evident, unintended consequences that no Government should be willing to accept.”[[6]](#endnote-6)
11. In April 2022, a House of Commons Research Briefing noted that: “The Committee [Work and Pensions Select Committee] had seen no evidence that the two-child limit was working in the way the Government hoped for, not least because the Government itself had produced no evaluation.”[[7]](#endnote-7)
12. The most recent independent assessment of the two-child cap was carried out by the University of York in a study funded by the Nuffield Trust. The report, published in July 2023, found that the policy had a “negative impact on people’s mental health, increasing stress and anxiety, and harming their well-being, with knock-on effects on children's opportunities and wellbeing.”[[8]](#endnote-8)
13. The University of York study confirmed that the policy has a disproportionate impact on certain households, including: “larger families and renting households – which in turn means they disproportionately affect minority ethnic households, and those less able to increase their income through employment, including single parents and families with younger children.”[[9]](#endnote-9)
14. The study also found that of the families interviewed, “many did not know the two-child limit existed until after their child was born, often because they were not receiving benefits at the time of birth, only needing support later after circumstances changed”.[[10]](#endnote-10)
15. These results confirmed what had been previously found in a study carried out by the London School of Economics (LSE), also funded by the Nuffield Trust. The study found that “the two-child limit had a measurable, but relatively small, impact on the number of births to affected families; the probability of having a third or subsequent child declined by 0.36 percentage points (or 5 percent) after the limit was introduced.”[[11]](#endnote-11)
16. The results from the University of York and LSE studies have been seen first-hand by some charities in the CSAN family who are working to meet the needs of people across the country. Caritas agencies in the diocese of Salford and Shrewsbury have seen families coming forward to ask for the most basic essentials like shampoo because they cannot afford them, and they are less available in food banks. They are meeting parents who are regularly foregoing meals to enable their youngest family members to eat instead.
17. Further, Caritas Salford reports that evidence from their counselling service that works with children experiencing poverty, highlights that these children are acutely aware of the financial challenges facing their parents, causing avoidable and unnecessary anxiety in their early years. Whilst their services do work with parents to help them organise their resources, they are also finding it necessary to support parents to try and safeguard the mental health, security, and well-being of their children in the face of crippling poverty.
18. It is abundantly clear that the increases in the cost of living have been made worse for some households by a benefits system that fails to provide all children and families with the support they need. We urge this new Government to make ending the two-child cap a priority to make lasting changes to a system which traps families in poverty.
19. We urge the Chancellor to take note of this submission and put children and families at the heart of her Autumn Statement 2024.
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